

SUPER-MAGIC COMPLETE k -PARTITE HYPERGRAPHS

MARIÁN TRENKLER

Graphs and Combinatorics 17(2001), 171-175

ABSTRACT.

We deal with complete k -partite hypergraphs and we show that for all $k \geq 2$ and $n \neq 2, 6$ its hyperedges can be labeled by consecutive integers $1, 2, \dots, n^k$ such that the sum of labels of the hyperedges incident to $(k - 1)$ particular vertices is the same for all $(k - 1)$ -tuples of vertices from $(k - 1)$ independent sets.

By a *complete k -partite hypergraph* \mathbf{H}_n^k we mean a hypergraph with kn vertices divided into k independent sets each with n vertices and n^k hyperedges having exactly k vertices. (Note. We obtain \mathbf{H}_n^k from a complete k -partite graph $\mathbf{K}_{n,n,\dots,n}$ by replacing all the edges of its every complete subgraph \mathbf{K}_k by a hyperedge.) A hypergraph \mathbf{H}_n^k is *magic* if the hyperedges can be labeled with different positive integers such that the sum of labels of the hyperedges incident to $(k - 1)$ particular vertices is the same for all $(k - 1)$ -tuples of vertices from $(k - 1)$ independent sets. Moreover, if the labels are consecutive integers $1, 2, \dots, n^k$ then \mathbf{H}_n^k is called *super-magic*. A super-magic hypergraph \mathbf{H}_n^k we denote by \mathbf{M}_n^k and its hyperedge (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) with its label we denote by $\mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$. In a similar way we can define a *magic* (or *super-magic*) hypergraph and its special case a *magic* (or *super-magic*) graph.

Magic graphs were introduced by J.Sedláček. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a magic graph can be found in [4] and [5]. B.M.Stewart [7] has proved that for all $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n > 5$ the complete graph \mathbf{K}_n is super-magic. It is easy to see that the classic concept of a magic square corresponds to the fact that the complete bipartite graph $\mathbf{K}_{n,n}$ is super-magic for all $n \neq 2$. J.Sedláček [6] considered the graph \mathbf{M}_{2n} (also called the Möbius ladder) and constructed a super-magic labeling for odd $n > 3$. Super-magic labelings for some classes of regular graphs of degree 4 were described in [1] and [3].

Super-magic complete bipartite graphs $\mathbf{K}_{n,n}$ generalize to super-magic complete k -partite hypergraphs \mathbf{M}_n^k . The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem.

If $n \neq 2, 6$ and $k \geq 2$ are positive integers, then the complete k -partite hypergraph \mathbf{H}_n^k is super-magic.

Before we prove our result we consider Latin squares and a Latin hypergraph.

A *Latin square* $\mathbf{R}_n|\mathbf{r}(i, j); 1 \leq i, j \leq n|$ of order n is a square matrix of order n such that every row and column is a permutation of the set of natural numbers $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Two Latin squares $\mathbf{R}_n|\mathbf{r}(i, j)|$ and $\mathbf{S}_n|\mathbf{s}(i, j)|$ of order n are called *orthogonal*, if all n^2 ordered pairs $[\mathbf{r}(i, j), \mathbf{s}(i, j)]$, where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, are different. In [2] it is proved that two orthogonal Latin squares of order n exist if and only if $n \neq 2, 6$. We will use this statement to prove our theorem. A hypergraph \mathbf{H}_n^k is called *Latin* if the hyperedges are labeled by integers $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that labels of the hyperedges incident to $(k-1)$ particular vertices are integers $1, 2, \dots, n$. A Latin hypergraph \mathbf{H}_n^k we denote by \mathbf{U}_n^k and its hyperedge (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) with its label we denote by $\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$.

On Figure are the labels of hyperedges of \mathbf{M}_3^4 . In the $[3(b-1) + d]$ -th row and the $[3(a-1) + c]$ -th column is the label $\mathbf{m}(a, b, c, d)$ of the hyperedge which joins the a -th vertex of the first part of vertices, the b -th vertex of the second part, the c -th vertex of the third part and the d -th vertex of the fourth part.

| | | | | | | | | |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 46 | 8 | 69 | 17 | 78 | 28 | 60 | 37 | 26 |
| 62 | 42 | 19 | 51 | 1 | 71 | 10 | 80 | 33 |
| 15 | 73 | 35 | 55 | 44 | 24 | 53 | 6 | 64 |
| 59 | 39 | 25 | 48 | 7 | 68 | 16 | 77 | 30 |
| 12 | 79 | 32 | 61 | 41 | 21 | 50 | 3 | 70 |
| 52 | 5 | 66 | 14 | 75 | 34 | 57 | 43 | 23 |
| 18 | 76 | 29 | 58 | 38 | 27 | 47 | 9 | 67 |
| 49 | 2 | 72 | 11 | 81 | 31 | 63 | 40 | 20 |
| 56 | 45 | 22 | 54 | 4 | 65 | 13 | 74 | 36 |

FIGURE

This labeling of \mathbf{M}_3^4 was made using the following formula (it is true for all odd n and $k = 4$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) &= [(i_1 - i_2 + i_3 - i_4 + \frac{n-1}{2}) \bmod n]n^3 \\ &\quad + [(i_1 - i_2 + i_3 + i_4 - \frac{n+3}{2}) \bmod n]n^2 \\ &\quad + [(i_1 - i_2 - i_3 - i_4 + \frac{3n+1}{2}) \bmod n]n \\ &\quad + [(i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 - \frac{3n+5}{2}) \bmod n] + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This formula was derived from the construction in the proof of our theorem.

Proof of the theorem. A super-magic hypergraph \mathbf{M}_1^k has only one edge. Just as a magic square of order 2 does not exist \mathbf{M}_2^k does not exist either and therefore we suppose that $n \geq 3$

We prove the theorem by a construction of \mathbf{M}_n^k for all integers $3 \leq n \neq 6$ and $k \geq 3$. We use mathematical induction on k . \mathbf{U}_n^2 is a Latin square of order n and \mathbf{M}_n^2 is a super-magic complete bipartite graph $\mathbf{K}_{n,n}$.

We suppose that $\mathbf{U}_n^{k-1}|\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1})|$ and $\mathbf{M}_n^{k-1}|\mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1})|$ are already constructed. We define a Latin hypergraph $\mathbf{U}_n^k|\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)|$ and a super-magic complete

k -partite hypergraph $\mathbf{M}_n^k | \mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) |$ for all $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \leq n$ by the following relations

$$\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = \mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = [\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) - 1]n^{k-1} + \mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{s}(i_{k-1}, i_k)).$$

In four steps we prove:

- (a) \mathbf{U}_n^k is a Latin \mathbf{H}_n^k ,
- (b) hyperedges of \mathbf{M}_n^k are labels from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n^k\}$,
- (c) no two labels of hyperedges of \mathbf{M}_n^k are equal,
- (d) sums of labels of hyperedges incident with $(k-1)$ vertices \mathbf{M}_n^k are equal.

- (a) Because \mathbf{R}_n is a Latin square both sets

$$\{\mathbf{r}(x, i_k) : x = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, x) : x = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

are equal to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and therefore

$$\{\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(x, i_k)) : x = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\{\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, x)) : x = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

are equal to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Because \mathbf{U}_m^{k-1} is a Latin hypergraph it follows that the labels of

$$\{\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{j-1}, x, i_{j+1}, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) : x = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

is equal to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-2$.

(b) All labels of hyperedges of \mathbf{U}_n^k are from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and all labels of hyperedges of \mathbf{M}_n^{k-1} are from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n^{k-1}\}$. It follows immediately that for all labels of hyperedges of \mathbf{M}_n^k we have

$$1 \leq \mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \leq n^k \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \leq n.$$

(c) Let us suppose that $\mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = \mathbf{m}(i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_k)$. We show that this implies $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = (i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_k)$.

From the definition of \mathbf{M}_n^k it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) - 1]n^{k-1} + \mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{s}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) = \\ & [\mathbf{u}(i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i'_{k-1}, i'_k)) - 1]n^{k-1} + \mathbf{m}(i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_{k-2}, \mathbf{s}(i'_{k-1}, i'_k)). \end{aligned}$$

By rearranging this equality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) - \mathbf{u}(i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i'_{k-1}, i'_k))]n^{k-1} = \\ & \mathbf{m}(i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_{k-2}, \mathbf{s}(i'_{k-1}, i'_k)) - \mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{s}(i_{k-1}, i_k)). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The left hand side of (1) is a multiple of n^{k-1} and the right side is a difference of labels of two hyperedges of \mathbf{M}_n^{k-1} which is not a non-zero multiple of n^{k-1} . From (1) it follows that

$$\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) = \mathbf{u}(i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i'_{k-1}, i'_k)) \quad (2)$$

and

$$\mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{s}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) = \mathbf{m}(i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_{k-2}, \mathbf{s}(i'_{k-1}, i'_k)). \quad (3)$$

In \mathbf{M}_n^{k-1} no two hyperedges have the same labels and therefore from (3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 = i'_1, \quad i_2 = i'_2, \dots, \quad i_{k-2} = i'_{k-2} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{s}(i_{k-1}, i_k) = \mathbf{s}(i'_{k-1}, i'_k). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By substitution of the first $(k-2)$ equalities in (2) we get

$$\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) = \mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i'_{k-1}, i'_k)). \quad (5)$$

Because \mathbf{U}_n^{k-1} is a Latin hypergraph, from the equality of the first $(k-2)$ indices in (5) it follows that

$$\mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, i_k) = \mathbf{r}(i'_{k-1}, i'_k). \quad (6)$$

From the assumption that \mathbf{R}_n and \mathbf{S}_n are orthogonal Latin squares and (4), (6) we get $i_{k-1} = i'_{k-1}$ and $i_k = i'_k$ which completes (c).

(d) For every $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_j=1}^n \mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}, i_k) &= \sum_{i_j=1}^n [\mathbf{u}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{r}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) - 1] n^{k-1} + \\ \sum_{i_j=1}^n \mathbf{m}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-2}, \mathbf{s}(i_{k-1}, i_k)) &= \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n \right] n^{k-1} + \frac{n(n^{k-1}+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n^k+1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark. The above construction of \mathbf{M}_n^k is based on the use of two orthogonal Latin squares and therefore it is not valid for $n = 2, 6$.

REFERENCES

1. Bača, M., Holländer, I., Lih, K.-W., *Two classes of super-magic graphs*, JCMCC **23** (1997), 113–120.
2. Bose, R.C., Shrikhande, S.S., Parker, E.T., *Further results on the construction of mutually orthogonal Latin squares and the falsity of Euler's conjecture*, Can. J. Math. **12** (1960), 189–203.
3. Ivančo, J., *On supermagic regular graphs*, Math. Bohemica **125** (2000), 99–114.
4. Jeurissen, R.H., *Magic graphs, a characterization*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **9** (1988), 363–368.
5. Jezný, S., Trenkler, M., *Characterization of magic graphs*, Czechoslovak Math. Jour. **33** (1983), 435–438.
6. Sedláček, J., *On magic graphs*, Math. Slovaca **26** (1976), 329–335.
7. Stewart, B.M., *Supermagic complete graphs*, Can. Jour. Math. **19** (1967), 427–438.

ŠAFÁRIK UNIVERSITY, JESENNÁ 5, 041 54 KOŠICE, SLOVAKIA
E-mail address: trenkler@science.upjs.sk